

PHAS 3136 Problem Sheet 2 Marking Scheme.

2. From lectures

$$M_s = -M_{\text{ISM}} \log\left(\frac{p - Z_{\text{ISM}}}{p - Z_{\text{ISM},i}}\right)$$

(a) For a given $\frac{M_s}{M_{\text{Tot}}}$ the time (since start) [1]

is longer (since more ISM keeps flowing in to reduce $\frac{M_s}{M_{\text{Tot}}}$)

More time $\rightarrow$ smaller fraction of stars formed early when Z_{ISM} was small [1]

(b) $f(Z, t) = \frac{M_s(t_i)}{M_s(t)}$ [1]

where $Z = Z_{\text{ISM}}(t_i)$

$$\Rightarrow f(Z, t) = \frac{\log\left(\frac{p - Z}{p - Z_{\text{ISM}}(t=0)}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{p - Z_{\text{ISM}}(t)}{p - Z_{\text{ISM}}(t=0)}\right)}$$

= [1]
✓ either

or, assuming $Z_{\text{ISM}}(t=0)=0$

$$f(Z, t) = \frac{\log(1 - Z/p)}{\log(1 - Z_{\text{ISM}}(t)/p)}$$

3 cont'd.

$$(c) \quad \frac{M_s}{M_{tot}} = 0.9 \quad ; \quad Z = Z_0$$

Calculate the yield from

$$M_s = -M_{ism} \log\left(\frac{p - Z_{ism}}{p - Z_{ism}(t=0)}\right)$$

Assume $Z_{ism}(t=0) = 0$

→ rearranging gives

$$1 - \frac{Z_{ism}}{p} = e^{-M_s/M_{ism}}$$

$$Z_{ism} = Z_0 \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{M_{ism}}{M_{tot}} = 1 - \frac{M_s}{M_{tot}}$$

$$p = \frac{Z_0}{1 - e^{-0.9/0.1}}$$

$$= 1.0001 Z_0 = 0.020002$$

[2]

Substitute to get $f(0.25 Z_0, t)$

$$f(Z, t) = \frac{\log(1 - Z/p)}{\log(1 - Z_{ism}(t)/p)}$$

$$= \frac{\log(1 - 0.25 Z_0 / 1.0001 Z_0)}{\log(1 - Z_0 / 1.0001 Z_0)}$$

$$= 0.03$$

[2]

Problem Class

13.5 Liddle.

3) a)

$$T = 3 \times 10^{28} \text{ K}$$

$$J_{\text{mon}} = 10^{-10}$$

$$J_{\text{sc}} = J_R = 1$$

$$J_{\text{mon}} = J_{\text{mon}}(T = 3 \times 10^{28} \text{ K}) \left[\frac{a}{a(T = 3 \times 10^{28} \text{ K})} \right]^{-3}$$

$$J_R = ~~J_{\text{sc}}~~ J_R(T = 3 \times 10^{28} \text{ K}) \left[\frac{a}{a(T = 3 \times 10^{28} \text{ K})} \right]^{-4}$$

find a_{eq} st. $J_{\text{mon}}(a_{\text{eq}}) = J_R(a_{\text{eq}})$

$$J_{\text{mon}}(T = 3 \times 10^{28} \text{ K})$$

$$~~J_{\text{mon}} = J_{\text{mon}}~~ \quad J_{\text{mon}}(a) = \frac{J_{\text{mon}}(a)}{J_c(a)} = 10^{-10} \text{ for } a = a_{\text{eq}}$$

$$J_R(a) = \frac{J_R(a)}{J_c(a)}$$

$$\rightarrow J_{\text{mon}}(a_{\text{eq}}) = J_R(a_{\text{eq}})$$

$$J_c(a_{\text{eq}}) J_{\text{mon}}$$

$$~~J_{\text{mon}}(a_{\text{eq}}) =~~$$

$$J_{\text{mon}}(a_{\text{eq}}) \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{eq}}} \right)^{-3} = J_R(a_{\text{eq}}) \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{eq}}} \right)^{-4}$$

$$\frac{J_{\text{mon}}(a_{\text{eq}})}{J_c(a_{\text{eq}})} \frac{a}{a_{\text{eq}}} = \frac{J_R(a_{\text{eq}})}{J_c(a_{\text{eq}})}$$

Problem Class (2)

$$10^{-10} \frac{a}{a_{\text{GUT}}} = 1$$

$$\rightarrow a = 10^{10} a_{\text{GUT}}$$

$$T \propto \frac{1}{a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow T &= 10^{-10} T_{\text{GUT}} \\ &= 10^{-10} 3 \times 10^{28} \text{K} \\ &= 3 \times 10^{18} \text{K} \end{aligned}$$

$$T \approx 3\text{K}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_{\text{non}}(a=1)}{\rho_{\text{r}}(a=1)} &= \frac{\rho_{\text{non}}(a=1)}{\rho_{\text{r}}(a=1)} \\ &= \frac{\rho_{\text{non}}(a_{\text{GUT}}) \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{GUT}}}\right)^{-3}}{\rho_{\text{r}}(a_{\text{GUT}}) \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{GUT}}}\right)^{-4}} \\ &= \frac{\rho_{\text{non}}(a_{\text{GUT}}) \left(\frac{a}{a_{\text{GUT}}}\right)}{\rho_{\text{r}}(a_{\text{GUT}})} \\ &= 10^{-10} \frac{a}{a_{\text{GUT}}} \\ &= 10^{-10} \frac{T_{\text{GUT}}}{T} \\ &= 10^{-10} \times \frac{3 \times 10^{28}}{3} \\ &= 10^{18} \end{aligned}$$

Problem Class (3)

Is this compatible with Ω_m 's?

$$\frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_c} = 10^9$$

What is $\frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_c}$ (today)?

$$\text{If } z_{eq} \approx 10,000$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Or } \frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_c} \sim 10,000 \quad (\text{check matter-radiation notes})$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{m0}}{\Omega_m} \sim 10^{16}$$

no.

4 (a) $t_{\text{half}} \sim 60\text{s}$

$$\frac{N_n}{N_p} \sim \frac{1}{5} \exp\left(-\ln 2 \frac{t_{\text{stop}}}{t_{\text{half}}}\right)$$

$$t_{\text{stop}} = 400\text{s}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{N_n}{N_p} \sim \frac{1}{5} \exp\left(-\ln 2 \frac{400}{60}\right)$$

$$\sim 0.002$$

[1]

$$Y_{\text{He}} = \frac{2 N_n}{N_n + N_p}$$

$$= \frac{2}{1 + (N_p/N_n)}$$

$$= \frac{2}{1 + 1/0.002}$$

$$= 0.004$$

[1]

cf. 0.22 for our universe.

Much less Helium: ~ 50 times less

[1]

$\Rightarrow$ less easy to make planets, humans

(b) • physical Hubble length $\sim ct$

where $t = \text{age of universe}$

for Hubble length at nucleosynthesis
use $t \sim 1 \text{ minute}$

[1]
↑
see
alternative
version of
answer.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hubble length} \sim 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1} \times 60 \text{ s}$$

$$\sim 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}$$

[order 1
of magnitude]

• scale today can be found using scale factor, a ,
at nucleosynthesis, and

$$\text{scale today} = \frac{\text{scale then}}{a}$$

(i.e. scale today is comoving distance)

Assume matter dominated Einstein-de Sitter

i.e. $a \propto t^{2/3}$

$$\Rightarrow a = \left(\frac{t_{\text{now}}}{t_{\text{today}}} \right)^{2/3}$$

$$\sim \left(\frac{60 \text{ s}}{10 \times 10^9 \times \underbrace{3.16 \times 10^7 \text{ s}}_{\text{seconds per year}}} \right)^{2/3}$$

$$\sim 3 \times 10^{-11}$$

[1]

$$\Rightarrow \text{scale today} \sim \frac{2 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}}{3 \times 10^{-11}} \sim 10^{21} \text{ m} \sim \frac{10^{21}}{3 \times 10^{16}} \text{ pc} \sim 0.1$$

is comparable to cluster size [1]

[1] any units

VCL PHA33136 Problem sheet 4 marking scheme (3)

1. cont'd.

(b) Alternative solution 1

$$\text{Hubble length} = \frac{c}{H_0} \int_0^a \frac{a^{-2} da}{\sqrt{\Omega_m a^{-3} + \Omega_k a^{-2} + \Omega_\Lambda}} \quad [1]$$

$$\approx \text{for Einstein de-Sitter } (\Omega_m=1) \quad \frac{c}{H_0} \int_0^a a^{-1/2} da$$

$$= \frac{2c}{H_0} a^{1/2}$$

use age of universe at nucleosynthesis to find a at nucleosynthesis

Assume matter dominated (EdS) $a \propto t^{2/3}$

$$\Rightarrow a = \left(\frac{t_{\text{nucl}}}{t_{\text{today}}} \right)^{2/3}$$

$$\sim 3 \times 10^{-11}$$

[1]

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hubble length} \approx \frac{2 \times 3 \times 10^8 \times (3 \times 10^{-11})^{1/2} \text{ ms}^{-1}}{72 \times 10^3 / (3 \times 10^{16} \times 10^6) \text{ s}}$$

$$\sim 10^{21} \text{ m}$$

$$\sim 0.1 \text{ Mpc}$$

[1]

~~Magnitude to cluster size~~ [1]

This corresponds to 0.1 Mpc today because the eqn above gives the comoving Hubble length [1]

$\sim$ galaxy to cluster size [1]

∴ (a) Fundamental Plane eqⁿ given in lectures:

$$R \propto \sigma_v^{-2} L^{1.25}$$

$$\sigma_v^2 \propto M/R \quad \text{from virial theorem.} \quad [1]$$

Substitute: $R \propto \frac{R}{M} L^{1.25}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{M}{L} \propto L^{0.25} \quad [1]$$

⇒ more luminous galaxies (greater L)
have more dark matter per star (M/L).

(b) Spirals in b-band $L \propto v_{\text{rot}}^{2.5}$ (from lectures)

Bound circular motion (gravity = centrifugal force)

gives $\frac{v_{\text{rot}}^2}{r} \propto \frac{M}{r^2} \Rightarrow v_{\text{rot}} \propto \sqrt{\frac{M}{r}} \quad [1]$

$$\Rightarrow L \propto \left(\frac{M}{r}\right)^{1.25}$$

Assume constant surface brightness $L = L_0 \pi r^2$ $\frac{1}{2}$

use this to eliminate r : $r \propto \sqrt{L} \quad [1]$

$$\Rightarrow L \propto \left(\frac{M}{\sqrt{L}}\right)^{1.25} \propto \frac{M^{1.25}}{L^{1.25/2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow L^{(1+1.25/2)/1.25} \propto M \quad \text{or } L$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{M}{L} \propto L^{(1+1.25/2)/1.25 - 1} = L^{0.3}$$

⇒ similar to FP ellipticals [2]